

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/845,923		KENNEDY, PATRICK	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Patricia Leith		1655	

**All Participants:**

(1) Patricia Leith.

(2) Attorney Jesse Bucholtz.

**Date of Interview:** 2 August 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** approx. 12:30pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 first.

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Relayed to Mr. Bucholtz that claims 13, 18 and 21 still provided for problems under 35 USC 112 first paragraph. Proposed the cancellation of claims 13, 18 and dependant claims thereof, and amending claim 21 to include the types of enzymes. Further proposed the cancellation of the withdrawn claims as well as minor corrections in the claims in order to better clarify the claims. Mr. Bucholtz agreed to the changes proposed in the Examiner's amendment. .